Twitter Thread by Maajid ■■■ ■■■■■

Maajid ■■■ ■■■■■
@MaajidNawaz

Analysis

The US Supreme Court has ducked hearing the case of Trump, Texas & 17 other states, on a procedural issue

They did not comment on any recent newsworthy fraud allegations

Trump still refuses to concede, so what are his remaining long shot legal routes?

Read my THREAD:

> ....that, after careful study and consideration, think you got \u201cscrewed\u201d, something which will hurt them also. Many others likewise join the suit but, within a flash, it is thrown out and gone, without even looking at the many reasons it was brought. A Rigged Election, fight on!
>
> — Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump) December 12, 2020

1) There is still this dormant PA Republican Party vs Boockvar US Supreme Court case, but it may remain dormant, since the Court already indicated yesterday that it (understandably?) simply doesn't want the serious heat on this one https://t.co/pixbZFSMHk

> A pending Supreme Court judgement in the case of Boockvar decides Pennsylvania
>
> That was not a fraud case. The number of votes is secondary
>
> It is about auditing a temporary mail ballot ringfence, imposed till a constitutional case against alleged judicial activism in PA is heard https://t.co/ek8gkDibKZ
>
> — Maajid \u0623\u0628\u0648 \u0639\u0645\u0651\u0627\u0631 (@MaajidNawaz) November 11, 2020

2) Mon Dec 14th Electoral College need to cast their votes alongside their states' choice (the crux of the dispute)

Matters escalate if:

i) the Electoral College is tied

ii) “faithless” electors don’t vote for their state candidate

Note: in the key swing states, this is legal https://t.co/62hSyFeXqq

> It is important for election heads following this story to note that in Arizona, Pennsylvania, Minnesota and Georgia the electoral college is *not legally obliged* to vote as their state did. If disputes about election integrity continue, what these electors think, will matter
>
> — Maajid \u0623\u0628\u0648 \u0639\u0645\u0651\u0627\u0631 (@MaajidNawaz) November 13, 2020

OR:

iii) the House rejects some Electoral College votes, resulting in neither candidate receiving a majority.

3) If the above long shot occurs (aren’t we already in unprecedented times?) what happens next?

Under the 12th Amendment, when the House meets Jan 6th they can refuse to approve the Electoral College votes. They instead vote on a 1 vote per 1 state basis

Trump wins that vote.

The House has decided 2 such presidential elections, in 1800 and 1824. Congress also stepped in to decide the 1876 election
https://t.co/EfobeC2DCx

According to a report by the Transition Integrity Project, a recent effort by researchers to study scenarios that would put the integrity of the 2020 election at risk, "a candidate unwilling to concede can contest the election into January."

https://t.co/JRdLxNIU1F

Summary:
we are entering dangerous times with a possibility of civil unrest on both sides of the Atlantic, until Brexit & US elections are resolved

These two matters are philosophically connected because PM Johnson is stuck:

Trump backs Brexit & Biden backs the EU.

Patience ■■

There’s also a nuclear option

Executive Order (2018):

“(Within) 45 days after the..Director of National Intelligence..shall conduct an assessment of any information indicating..a foreign government..acted with..purpose of interfering in that election”

https://t.co/vXUdUqbBQu