Twitter Thread by Maajid ■■■ ■■■■■



Maajid ■■■ ■■■■■
@MaajidNawaz

Texas is disputing US election results in 4 swing states: Pennsylvania, Michigan, Wisconsin & Georgia

Under Article III, US Supreme Court settles disputes between 2 or more states

Due to safe harbour, Trump's remaining legal routes are narrow after

Brief analysis:
The Texas case is about the contested swing states' executive & judicial branches VS their legislatures, on mail-in ballot rules

On Tuesday before safe harbour, SCOTUS rejected a similar lawsuit brought by Republican Rep. Mike Kelly (https://t.co/CUQ8YQtP5e)

But a 3rd & eerily similar case has been with Justice Alito (as circuit judge for PA) & the Supreme Court since before the election. It's about this very same executive & judiciary VS legislature dispute. That case, PA Republican Party vs Boockvar, may be decided in time, or not https://t.co/pixbZFSMHk

> A pending Supreme Court judgement in the case of Boockvar decides Pennsylvania
>
> That was not a fraud case. The number of votes is secondary
>
> It is about auditing a temporary mail ballot ringfence, imposed till a constitutional case against alleged judicial activism in PA is heard https://t.co/ek8gkDibKZ
>
> — Maajid \u0623\u0628\u0648 \u0639\u0645\u0651\u0627\u0631 (@MaajidNawaz) November 11, 2020

The below is also worth noting: https://t.co/62hSyFeXqq

> It is important for election heads following this story to note that in Arizona, Pennsylvania, Minnesota and Georgia the electoral college is *not legally obliged* to vote as their state did. If disputes about election integrity continue, what these electors think, will matter

— Maajid \u0623\u0628\u0648 \u0639\u0645\u0651\u0627\u0631 (@MaajidNawaz) November 13, 2020

There is also the issue of ‘safe harbour’ being said to only be “procedural”. This view rests on a reading of the Bush v Gore Supreme Court ruling.

There is now this too: https://t.co/X4FqCdikug

> BREAKING REPORT: SEVEN STATES have now joined Texas lawsuit, arguing that the EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE HAS BEEN VIOLATED IN THIS ELECTION from state-to-state...
>
> — Chuck Callesto (@ChuckCallesto) December 8, 2020

If US Supreme Court rules for Texas, by not allowing the swing states’ Electoral College votes due to unconstitutional judicial activism, then neither candidate has reached the 270 threshold

In such a scenario, Congress decides: 1 vote per state only

And that means a Trump win.

I do not know if any of the above will happen. It’s just one of the routes that remain open to Trump, and it demonstrates why it ain’t over till the fat lady sings.

Regardless, this entire saga *is news*. The Commander-in-Chief of the most powerful nation in history refused to concede, claiming a foreign breach. That would be an act of war.

That’s my main point, which most people (nearly all MSM) seem to be ignoring for whatever (!) reason

On the ‘safe harbour’ issue: https://t.co/KnGSWdhRhx

> \u201cThe only fixed day in the U.S. Constitution is the inauguration\u2026on January 20 at noon.\u201d
>
> President Trump\u2019s legal team argued the Dec. 8 \u201c#SafeHarbor\u201d deadline has no practical effect in the president\u2019s lawsuits seeking to overturn #ElectionResults. https://t.co/0buMSqp7xL
>
> — The Epoch Times (@EpochTimes) December 8, 2020