## Twitter Thread by **The Hoarse Whisperer**

## The Hoarse Whisperer

@TheRealHoarse



I'm just going to lay this down now so it's on record:

Trump's campaign has used the exact same strategy it used in 2016: smear his opponent; attempt to demotivate their base; and actively attempt to prevent or obstruct them from voting.

It is a push-down strategy.

1/

Striking finding from NYT/Siena: Biden has big leads among those who didn't vote in 2016. And they make up non-trivial portions of the electorate.

Here are Biden's leads, followed by their vote share:

WI +19 (11%)

FL +17 (18%)

PA +12 (18%)

AZ +7 (22%)

It's a new electorate.

— Greg Sargent (@ThePlumLineGS) November 1, 2020

In 2016, didn't win because he made a winning case to a big enough population.

He won because his opponent's turnout was pushed down by a full-court press that tapped everything from misogyny to poll tampering.

2/

He is trying to run the exact same playbook against Biden.

But it isn't working because:

| 1) We now understand it   |
|---|
| 2) Many who were effectively suppressed last time have had four years to see what that cost   |
| 3) Biden isn't susceptible to the same illegitimate biases  |
| 3/  |
| And 4) Many people who first engaged with politics because of Bernie are now well past the "or Bust" vibe of 2016 and are now solidly active progressive voters |
| The media, pundits and analysts never fully reckoned with the extent to which Hillary's vote was just suppressed.  4/   |
| Instead, they overestimated Trump's strength.   |
| Trump only won by smothering just enough of his opponent's support.   |
| Some of the voters who that succeeded with in 2016 are vehemently un-smotherable this time.   |
| 5/  |
| And what is left is an electorate that will look quite changed since 2016 in ways that are all bad for Trump.   |
| Trump is a one-trick pony: depress the other guy's votes. It worked in 2016. The whole system helped.   |
| The media loves to hate Hillary too much to fully admit that.   |
| 6/  |
| It not only isn't working in 2020, it has ignited a vigilance among some who were taken in by it.   |
| Trump is going to lose. It isn't going to be a nail-biter.  |
| And the post mortems will go right on missing the fact that Trump never, ever held the backing of enough ppl to win.  |
| 7/  |
| I am already pre-annoyed by the breathless post-election analyses of the "startling" extent of Trump's defeat, the "unpredicted weakness" in his support.       |
| It was always right there to see.   |

8/

| I did the same yelling into the abyss about Bernie's hard ceiling in the primaries while David Plouffe and the other professional talking heads were swooning over momentum that wasn't.                 |
|--|
| It is right there to see.  |
| 9/   |
| Trump is no different than he was in 2016.   |
| His support is no different.   |
| It wasn't enough then. It isn't enough now.  |
| It took a perfect storm in 2016.   |
| Now he can't even rustle the drapes.   |
| And that was always right there to see.  |
| But boring races don't sell ad space.  |
| 10/10  |
| As I was saying  |
| Right. There. To. See. https://t.co/oI4mBi0FpU   |
| Per my average of polls going back to 1948, Trump has set a new record as the first incumbent president to poll below 47% of the national two-party vote every day since June 1st of the election cycle. |
| — G. Elliott Morris (@gelliottmorris) November 1, 2020   |