Twitter Thread by TXinTimeOut

TXinTimeOut
@TXstillWatching



Documents at Mar-a-Lago Marked 'Classified' Were Already Declassified https://t.co/70QRXjklCS via @BreitbartNews

Wednesday that a report claiming classified materials were found at Mar-a-Lago is misleading and that the documents were actually already declassified by then-President Donald Trump, but the classification markings had not been updated.

"Trump declassified whole sets of materials in anticipation of leaving government that he thought the American public should have the right to read themselves," Patel

"The White House counsel failed to generate the paperwork to change the classification markings, but that doesn't mean the information wasn't declassified." Patel

Former Fed prosecutor Kash Patel says that 40% of the declassified documents are being withheld from the public https://t.co/W5qfKBKFcc

Judge Reinhart recused himself when the name Clinton was presented, then felt no such recusal need when the name Trump stood alone on the still secret search warrant.

Former Fed prosecutor Kash Patel says that 40% of the declassified documents are being withheld from the public pic.twitter.com/ArfJiSbek5


- Rasmussen Reports (@Rasmussen_Poll) August 10, 2022

NBC News reported in February that the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) found items "marked as classified national security information" within boxes sent to Mar-a-Lago. NARA made the disclosure in a letter replying to questions from Rep. Carolyn Maloney (D-NY)

Report Alleges FBI "Had Personal Stake" in Mar-a-Lago Raid - AGENTS WERE AFTER SPYGATE Documents Trump Was Holding That Likely Implicated FBI...

https://t.co/wb1ryi74U5

As reported earlier today — President Trump declassified a binder on January 19th, 2021 that contains hundreds of pages about the Crossfire Hurricane scandal. It contains damaging information about the corrupt actors involved with our government.



Two different DOJ Attorney General's have defied President Trump's direct lawful order to publish the binder in the Federal Register. It's been 19 months as the DOJ defies the order, and every FOIA request to make it public.

"Newsweek has been told by DOJ officials that the Mar-a-Lago raid was "an FBI operation" and the DOJ was "not involved..."

IF true, it seems the FBI raid was undertaken to find documents that implicated the FBI in criminal acts during the Mueller investigation.

Saw this and had a thought... nuclear secrets? Like Uranium One Scandal?



Asha Rangappa **②**@AshaRangappa_

Just to head off at the pass that Trump could have unilaterally declassified nuclear secrets (which is irrelevant to the Espionage Act), not true. 36 CFR. Ch. 11, Sec. 1260.28 (h/t @LSurteesTdot) requires Dept. of Energy sign off

§ 1260.28 Who is responsible for declassifying Restricted Data, Formerly Restricted Data, and Transclassified Foreign Nuclear Information?

(a) Only designated officials within the Department of Energy (DOE) may declassify Restricted Data (RD) (as defined by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended). The declassification of Formerly Restricted Data (FRD) (as defined in 10 CFR 1045.3) may only be performed after designated officials within

Foreign Nuclear Information (TFNI) (as defined in 32 CFR 2001.24(i)) may be performed only by designated officials within DOE.

(b) Any record that contains RD, FRD, or TFNI shall be excluded from automatic declassification and referred by the primary reviewing agency to DOE using a completed SF 715 to communicate both the referral action and the actions taken on the equities of the primary reviewing agency. Any record identified by the primary reviewing agency as potentially

Russia/Uranium Scandal: Declassify the 37-Pages of Evidence Showing \$145 Million Bribery Scandal Involving The Clinton Foundation

The FBI has refused to make important documents public.


https://t.co/fhnUJrEJnT

FACT 1: Evidence of Wrongdoing Exits

Eight years after its informant uncovered criminal wrongdoing inside Russia's nuclear industry, the FBI has identified 37 pages of documents that might reveal what agents told the Obama administration, then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton and others about the controversial Uranium One deal.

There's just one problem: The FBI claims it must keep the memos secret from the public.


FACT 2: The Secret Information Contain Important Finding of National Interest	:



FACT 2: The Secret Information Contain Important Finding of National Interest

One former U.S. official, who had access to the evidence shared with CFIUS during the Uranium One deal, said this to me: "There is definitely material that would be illuminating to the issues that have been raised. Somebody should fight to make it public."

That somebody could be President Trump, who could add these 37 pages of now-secret documents to his declassification order he is considering in the Russia case.



"There is definitely material that would be illuminating to the issues that have been raised. Somebody should fight to make it public."

That somebody could be Trump, who could add those 37pgs of documents to his declassification order he is considering in the Russia case.

FACT 3: Either the CFIUS Process Was Corrupted or Broken, or the FBI Dropped the Ball; or Both

FACT 3: Either the CFIUS Process Was Corrupted or Broken, or the FBI Dropped the Ball; or Both

But none of the facts that the FBI had about this "racketeering scheme" was brought to the attention of the Committee on Foreign Investment in 2010 or 2011 by Holder, as it was named in an affidavit by an agent of the Energy Department assigned to assist the FBI in the case.

Recall that Holder, as attorney general, was responsible for overseeing the FBI and its investigations.

None of the details on the millions of dollars sent to the Clinton Foundation was brought to the attention of the Committee by Hillary Clinton. And no congressional intelligence panels were told about it, either, according to The Hill.

This Summary of the Case Raises Important Questions:

The Heritage Foundation release a <u>list</u> of 7 questions in light of the following facts:

- The Obama administration's handling of the Uranium One "bribery plot" raises serious, critical questions that must be answered.
- It is hard to come to any conclusion other than it was a political decision intended to cover up what happened.
- The Justice Department needs to turn over its complete file on the investigation and prosecution.



- 1. Why didn't Holder or Mueller brief the Committee on Foreign Investment about the bribery and kickbacks being engineered by Rosatom when it was seeking approval of its purchase of Uranium One in 2010 or its sale of uranium to American nuclear plants by Tenex in 2011?
- 2. Why weren't the House or Senate intelligence committees informed? The FBI and the Justice Department already had their informant in place in 2009 and already had evidence of the corruption.
- 3. Why was the indictment and prosecution of Mikerin delayed, and why did the Justice Department allow this racketeering enterprise that corrupted the American uranium industry to continue for five years?
- 4. Why were the possible charges against Mikerin reduced?

FBI uncovered Russian bribery plot before Obama administration approved controversial nuclear deal with Moscow

Before the Obama administration approved a controversial deal in 2010 giving Moscow control of a large swath of American uranium, the FBI had gathered substantial evidence that Russian nuclear industry officials were engaged in bribery, kickbacks, extortion and money laundering designed to grow Vlad...

remember this?		

Tweet



I have fully authorized the total Declassification of any & all documents pertaining to the single greatest political CRIME in American History, the Russia Hoax. Likewise, the Hillary Clinton Email Scandal. No redactions!



Paul Sperry @paulsperry_ · 23h

When all the documents are finally declassified, and all the redactions removed from reports, the nation will see that the FBI and CIA not only knew the Russia "collusion" allegations against Trump were a political dirty trick, but that the...


8:41 PM · 10/6/20 · Twitter for iPhone

Obama Admin's Treasury Dept. knowingly funded Al-Qaeda according to newly declassified documents by President Trump and Charles Grassley of Senate Finance Committee.

https://t.co/0bqUg5VMbR

Pdf■https://t.co/9bhZS4g6Od







Memorandum

TO: Charles E. Grassley

FROM: Oversight and Investigations Unit, Finance Committee

SUBJECT: World Vision Financial Transactions

DATE: December 22, 2020

Introduction

In February 2019, the Committee began investigating allegations concerning a diversion of government funds by World Vision (an Evangelical 501(c)(3) non-profit organization) to a terrorist-funding organization, the Islamic Relief Agency (ISRA). The investigation was initiated in response to a news article alleging that World Vision, and/or its subsidiaries, had intentionally partnered with an organization that was listed by the Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) as a sanctioned entity for its ties to terrorist organizations. The report alleged that World Vision contracted with the Islamic Relief Agency (ISRA) despite clear and readily discoverable evidence of ISRA's sanctioned status.

In a press release, World Vision claimed they were not aware of ISRA's status before partnering with them and appeared to

Trump ordered the declassification and release of a binder filled with sensitive FBI documents on Jan. 19, 2021, but the Justice Department never complied.

Judicial Watch said in its lawsuit that it filed a FOIA request for the declassified documents in February, but the DOJ never complied.

https://t.co/dDrLM6y7Av

You can read the full lawsuit here:

File

https://t.co/QmbqdERIXf

The many of the docs that Trump declassified never saw the light of day, even though they were lawfully declassified by Trump and the DOJ was instructed by the president through Meadows to expeditiously release them after redacting private information as necessary.

See memo



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 20, 2021

MEMORANDUM FOR THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

FROM:

The Chief of Staff

SUBJECT:

Privacy Act Review of Certain Declassified Materials Related to the FBI's Crossfire

Hurricane Investigation

By Memorandum dated January 19, 2021, the President declassified certain materials related the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Crossfire Hurricane investigation. The President's Memorandum specifically stated: "My decision to declassify materials within the binder is subject to the limits identified above and does not extend to materials that must be protected from disclosure pursuant to orders of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court and does not require the disclosure of certain personally identifiable information or any other materials that must be protected from disclosure under applicable law." (emphasis added). Based on directions provided to the Department of Justice and our understanding that a review for protecting privacy interests had been conducted by the Department of Justice and that additional redactions to protect privacy interests had been applied to the materials, the President also stated: "[A]t my direction, the Attorney General has conducted an appropriate review to ensure that materials provided in the binder may be disclosed by the White House in accordance with applicable law."

We understand that the Office of Legal Counsel has advised that the Privacy Act does not apply to the White House and thus would not apply to any disclosure of documents by the White House. Nevertheless, we do not intend to disclose materials that would violate the standards of the Privacy Act and, in particular, materials the disclosure of which would constitute "an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Accordingly, I am returning the bulk of the binder of declassified documents to the Department of Justice (including all that appear to have a potential to raise privacy concerns) with the instruction that the Department must expeditiously conduct a Privacy Act review under the standards that the Department of Justice would normally apply, redact material appropriately, and release the remaining material with redactions applied.



Meadows memo was obtained from the National Archives. The National Archives denied having a copy of the declassified binder. Former Chief of Staff Mark Meadows gave back to the DOJ.

https://t.co/JGSZy27tPL

So who has the binder? Meadows supposedly returned it with memo to DOJ with instructions January 20th. The national archives has memo that accompanied binder but denies having the binder.



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 20, 2021

MEMORANDUM FOR THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

FROM:

The Chief of Staff

SUBJECT:

Privacy Act Review of Certain Declassified Materials Related to the FBI's Crossfire

Hurricane Investigation

By Memorandum dated January 19, 2021, the President declassified certain materials related the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Crossfire Hurricane investigation. The President's Memorandum specifically stated: "My decision to declassify materials within the binder is subject to the limits identified above and does not extend to materials that must be protected from disclosure pursuant to orders of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court and does not require the disclosure of certain personally identifiable information or any other materials that must be protected from disclosure under applicable law." (emphasis added). Based on directions provided to the Department of Justice and our understanding that a review for protecting privacy interests had been conducted by the Department of Justice and that additional redactions to protect privacy interests had been applied to the materials, the President also stated: "[A]t my direction, the Attorney General has conducted an appropriate review to ensure that materials provided in the binder may be disclosed by the White House in accordance with applicable law."

We understand that the Office of Legal Counsel has advised that the Privacy Act does not apply to the White House and thus would not apply to any disclosure of documents by the White House. Nevertheless, we do not intend to disclose materials that would violate the standards of the Privacy Act and, in particular, materials the disclosure of which would constitute "an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Accordingly, I am returning the bulk of the binder of declassified documents to the Department of Justice (including all that appear to have a potential to raise privacy concerns) with the instruction that the Department must expeditiously conduct a Privacy Act review under the standards that the Department of Justice would normally apply, redact material appropriately, and release the remaining material with redactions applied.



Does the DOJ have binder? Are they worried Trump kept a copy? What files that were declassified are being hidden from We the People?