

Twitter Thread by [InChristAlone](#)



[InChristAlone](#)

[@Kev_GraceAlone](#)



[@dptech23](#) [@Eutrapeliamuse](#) [@Soteriology101](#) [@lordgrindleford](#) [@jbabym](#)

[@ErweeKoos](#) [@monergism](#) [@DrJordanBCooper](#) [@ThaRealJgibbs](#)

[@leightonflowers](#) "Choose" in verse 4 means to "pick out" or to "select" or to choose out for oneself"

Can we agree with this Bill before we continue

I did read all of your "response to a friend" and know you see verse 4 as being a group of believers selected for sanctification I believe.

[@billious](#) [@dptech23](#) [@Eutrapeliamuse](#) [@Soteriology101](#) [@lordgrindleford](#) [@jbabym](#) [@ErweeKoos](#) [@monergism](#) [@DrJordanBCooper](#) [@ThaRealJgibbs](#) [@leightonflowers](#) It seems thru your foreseen faith that God is only saving those He knows will believe.

Then from eternity, God looks into the future in time.

Then God is informed by the LFW actions of all those who will believe and these God chooses to be Holy and blameless in Christ,

[@billious](#) [@dptech23](#) [@Eutrapeliamuse](#) [@Soteriology101](#) [@lordgrindleford](#) [@jbabym](#) [@ErweeKoos](#) [@monergism](#) [@DrJordanBCooper](#) [@ThaRealJgibbs](#) [@leightonflowers](#) Bill, is God TRYING to save EVERYBODY without exception?

Or only those who INFORM Him with their LFW action of Belief that they will set their faith in the direction of believing in Jesus?

This God knows thru "foreseen informed faith"

[@billious](#) [@dptech23](#) [@Eutrapeliamuse](#) [@Soteriology101](#) [@lordgrindleford](#) [@jbabym](#) [@ErweeKoos](#) [@monergism](#) [@DrJordanBCooper](#) [@ThaRealJgibbs](#) [@leightonflowers](#) Bill, does God have to keep looking into the future to keep being "informed" by the LFW actions of believers?

To see if they will continue to "keep walking in the Spirit?"

Or if they will keep focusing their innate faith in the direction of Jesus?

Nxt

[@billious](#) [@dptech23](#) [@Eutrapeliamuse](#) [@Soteriology101](#) [@lordgrindleford](#) [@jbabym](#) [@ErweeKoos](#) [@monergism](#)
[@DrJordanBCooper](#) [@ThaRealJgibbs](#) [@leightonflowers](#) Does God do this individually with each person?

That is look into the future to be informed by their LFW actions?

Or is God capable of doing this with a large group?

Everyone all at one time?

What is the extent of God's ability of his knowledge being informed by the creature?

[@billious](#) [@dptech23](#) [@Eutrapeliamuse](#) [@Soteriology101](#) [@lordgrindleford](#) [@jbabym](#) [@ErweeKoos](#) [@monergism](#)
[@DrJordanBCooper](#) [@ThaRealJgibbs](#) [@leightonflowers](#) You may find this interesting Bill. It is Dr. Sean Cole's Response and rebuttal of Dr. Brian Wagner's understanding of Ephesians 1 as he also believes in Cooperate Election

1. "Sean Cole said in response to Brian Wagner:

nxt

[@billious](#) [@dptech23](#) [@Eutrapeliamuse](#) [@Soteriology101](#) [@lordgrindleford](#) [@jbabym](#) [@ErweeKoos](#) [@monergism](#)
[@DrJordanBCooper](#) [@ThaRealJgibbs](#) [@leightonflowers](#) 2. Let me give you my thoughts on this. In the grand scheme of things, a dative (indirect object or interest) has no bearing on the meaning of the passage. Let's break it down. What did God give?

Nxt

[@billious](#) [@dptech23](#) [@Eutrapeliamuse](#) [@Soteriology101](#) [@lordgrindleford](#) [@jbabym](#) [@ErweeKoos](#) [@monergism](#)
[@DrJordanBCooper](#) [@ThaRealJgibbs](#) [@leightonflowers](#) 3. In the immediate grammatical context, it is probably grace but it could be both purpose and grace

Here's the question: To whom was this grace given? TO US—(dative—if a normal dative indirect object—we are the recipients of this grace that was given.

Nxt

[@billious](#) [@dptech23](#) [@Eutrapeliamuse](#) [@Soteriology101](#) [@lordgrindleford](#) [@jbabym](#) [@ErweeKoos](#) [@monergism](#)
[@DrJordanBCooper](#) [@ThaRealJgibbs](#) [@leightonflowers](#) 4. Even if it is a dative of interest it could be translated that this grace was given "for our benefit or advantage"—but the Greek does not allow the grace to be given to Jesus to then someday in the future turn around give to us.

nxt

@billious @dpotech23 @Eutrapeliamuse @Soteriology101 @lordgrindleford @jbabym @ErweeKoos @monergism @DrJordanBCooper @ThaRealJgibbs @leightonflowers 5. He does believe that the grace was given before (pros) times eternal or before creation or in eternity past—however, you want to translate it.

Nxt

@billious @dpotech23 @Eutrapeliamuse @Soteriology101 @lordgrindleford @jbabym @ErweeKoos @monergism @DrJordanBCooper @ThaRealJgibbs @leightonflowers 6. Here is his problematic statement: That grace was given before creation to Christ Jesus to possess and reveal later (vs. 10) for us who are now saved and called
Why would God give grace to Jesus too, later on, give us?

nxt

@billious @dpotech23 @Eutrapeliamuse @Soteriology101 @lordgrindleford @jbabym @ErweeKoos @monergism @DrJordanBCooper @ThaRealJgibbs @leightonflowers 7. Nowhere else in the NT do we see this concept of God giving grace to Jesus before time and then coming later to reveal this grace. The grammar will not allow the grace to be given to Christ.

Nxt

@billious @dpotech23 @Eutrapeliamuse @Soteriology101 @lordgrindleford @jbabym @ErweeKoos @monergism @DrJordanBCooper @ThaRealJgibbs @leightonflowers 8. Even if he takes it as a dative of interest the grace is still given to US (for our benefit or advantage or interest) in Christ—not that the grace was given to Christ. Here is his other problematic statement:

Nxt

@billious @dpotech23 @Eutrapeliamuse @Soteriology101 @lordgrindleford @jbabym @ErweeKoos @monergism @DrJordanBCooper @ThaRealJgibbs @leightonflowers 9. Neither was grace given TO you or me individually before salvation as if we had it placed into our lives back then, for our lives did not exist back then.

nxt

@billious @dpotech23 @Eutrapeliamuse @Soteriology101 @lordgrindleford @jbabym @ErweeKoos @monergism @DrJordanBCooper @ThaRealJgibbs @leightonflowers 10. One can speak anachronistically and say “grace that was given to us” but it would be more logical and literal to say “grace that was given for us”. This “us” is a dative of interest, not a dative indirect object. sans-serif;”>The grammar will simply not allow this.

Nxt

@billious @dpotech23 @Eutrapeliamuse @Soteriology101 @lordgrindleford @jbabym @ErweeKoos @monergism @DrJordanBCooper @ThaRealJgibbs @leightonflowers 11. The direct object or recipient of the grace is “US”—not Christ. Even though our lives did not exist back then, God still predestined us to be saved. This would mean that when God created He had no knowledge of you or me.

Nxt

@billious @dpotech23 @Eutrapeliamuse @Soteriology101 @lordgrindleford @jbabym @ErweeKoos @monergism @DrJordanBCooper @ThaRealJgibbs @leightonflowers 12. Or we can believe what the Bible says that God predestined US and chose US before the foundation of the world.

Nxt

@billious @dpotech23 @Eutrapeliamuse @Soteriology101 @lordgrindleford @jbabym @ErweeKoos @monergism @DrJordanBCooper @ThaRealJgibbs @leightonflowers 13. In God's mind, even though we didn't exist, God still shows specific electing love to all those whose names were written in the Lamb's book of life before the foundation of the world. >Even if the grace was given TO us or given FOR us, he still has to answer his own objection

@billious @dpotech23 @Eutrapeliamuse @Soteriology101 @lordgrindleford @jbabym @ErweeKoos @monergism @DrJordanBCooper @ThaRealJgibbs @leightonflowers 14. How can something be given FOR us if we did not exist? I guess he thinks this salvation was a plan that God would enact one day when Christ came but that there was no eternal decree by God to save anyone in particular.

Nxt

@billious @dpotech23 @Eutrapeliamuse @Soteriology101 @lordgrindleford @jbabym @ErweeKoos @monergism @DrJordanBCooper @ThaRealJgibbs @leightonflowers 15. Even if the grace was given for us or to us, we are still the recipients of that grace and God did it before time and only the elect will be saved. I'm afraid he is trying to make the text say something it doesn't in order to not deal with unconditional election.

Nxt

@billious @dpotech23 @Eutrapeliamuse @Soteriology101 @lordgrindleford @jbabym @ErweeKoos @monergism @DrJordanBCooper @ThaRealJgibbs @leightonflowers 16. Which is probably the case since he is a corporate election guy. >This is a minor detail and one that I wouldn't be dogmatic on. The real question is this—if sinners are spiritually dead and unable to come to Christ on their own, how then do they come?

Nxt

@billious @dpotech23 @Eutrapeliamuse @Soteriology101 @lordgrindleford @jbabym @ErweeKoos @monergism @DrJordanBCooper @ThaRealJgibbs @leightonflowers 17. Are they drawn? can they resist that drawing?

Does God foresee who will be saved and then ratify their decision based upon what he sees?

Does a dead sinner use his or her free will to come to Christ and then once they come to Christ they are one of the elect?

@billious @dpotech23 @Eutrapeliamuse @Soteriology101 @lordgrindleford @jbabym @ErweeKoos @monergism @DrJordanBCooper @ThaRealJgibbs @leightonflowers @threadreaderapp unroll please