Twitter Thread by DCPetterson



DCPetterson
@dcpetterson



I was right. "Lawyer" starts out with name-calling and an insistence that trial is "unconstitutional". He's saying Trump's 1/6 speech was rather bland, and pretending that was the only thing the House managers talked about, and the managers were "slanderous."

Bilious bullshit.

> Trump's "lawyers" won't offer any sort of defense.
>
> They will distract, deflect, distort and dissemble.
>
> They'll engage in whataboutism and name-calling.
>
> They'll call the trial "unconstitutional," even though the Senate decided it wasn't.
>
> They won't engage with the facts.
>
> — DCPetterson (@dcpetterson) February 12, 2021

"Lawyer" is arguing that since there were objections raised by Democrats to some of the vote counts in 2016, that means Trump didn't engage in sedition.

I'm not sure how that logic works.

Now they're running a Trump campaign commercial.

A bunch of whataboutism, contrasting patriotic music behind Trump's racist dogwhistles about "law and order" against Democrats making firey speeches with dark music.

He went to the moronic Gym Jordan argument that Trump couldn't have instigated insurrection if the violence was gonna happen anyway (without acknowledging Trump had been encouraging and building up to that violence for close to a year).

About ten minutes in, and already he's fulfilled all of my expectations.
https://t.co/IKpBkDzahB

> Trump's "lawyers" have said they only need one day (tomorrow) to defend Trump.
>
> My guesses for what they'll do:
>
> Distract, lie, distort, and deflect.
>
> 1/11
>
> — DCPetterson (@dcpetterson) February 11, 2021

I think he intentionally mispronounced "Kamala" and "Ayanna".

Of course, he also referred to "incitement to resurrection," so it's hard to say.

Most of his presentation so far is distraction and whataboutism. No reference to the arguments and evidence presented by the House Managers.

Like all Republicans, he can't distinguish between a noun and an adjective, and he insists on referring to the "Democrat Party."

Schoen's argument is, "Democrats HATE Trump! They HATE him!! They HATE HATE HATE TRUMP! Do you hear me? They HATE TRUMP! It's all because they HATE TRUMP! It's TRUMP! and they HATE HIM! Got it? THEY HATE TRUMP!"

Schoen is claiming the House didn't give Trump "due process."

There is no "due process" consideration in either a grand jury or a House Impeachment. That's not how it works. It's like saying you can't checkmate someone in chess without holding a straight flush.

Schoen is insisting anything that was reported by the news media is false.

He's also pretending courtroom due process must be followed--after meeting with some of the jurors to plan strategy last night.

Back to THEY HATE TRUMP!!!! HATE HIM!! That's why we have to acquit Trump!! Because DEMOCRATS HATE TRUMP!!

More here.
https://t.co/KyCcL1HyOK

> Schoen is pretending courtroom "due process" must be followed--after meeting with some of the jurors to plan strategy last night.

— DCPetterson (@dcpetterson) February 12, 2021