

## Twitter Thread by Elizabeth Stokoe ■■■■



**Elizabeth Stokoe** ■■■■

[@LizStokoe](#)



Regarding the UK gov's new Covid campaign (“Act like you've got the virus”), I was asked on [@SkyNews](#) yesterday if “there is a problem with compliance now in terms of people adhering ... is the message is clear enough?”

Preparing took me down messaging rabbit holes.

■



2. On Friday night, to prepare for the interview, I duly looked at [@DHSCgovuk](#)'s campaign.

Prof Whitty speaks to camera: “We must all stay home. If it is essential to go out, remember wash your hands, cover your face indoors, and keep your distance from others.”



3. The new campaign combines March 2020's strap-line – “Stay Home>Protect the NHS>Save Lives” with new messages (e.g., about the new variant).

“We all NEED” (below) is not the same as Whitty's “We MUST” - or the very clear “You MUST stay at home” text message from March 2020.



4. While looking online for the new campaign, I found this Telegraph description.

In fact, I saw this \*before\* the DHSC one.

I got confused since the words appeared in messaging from the first lockdown and I couldn't see them in the Whitty video.



New Govt advertising campaign to pressurise Britons into obeying the "stay at home" rules unveiled this evening.

"If you go out... You can spread it.. People will die."



7:10 PM · Jan 8, 2021 · Twitter Web App

5. Among many negative replies under the Telegraph tweet was the criticism that the 'new' campaign is "too late", "MORE fear"; a "3 phrase mantra AGAIN", "that ship has sailed", "ANOTHER waste of money" – but apparently directed at the wrong campaign.

6. The same (old) words were used in the Evening Standard's tweet about the new campaign - "If you go out, you can spread it. People will die" - but the link takes you to the new message.



Hard-hitting Covid adverts warn: "If you go out, you can spread it. People will die"

Follow all the LIVE updates here 📢



Calls to make lockdown 'stricter' as Queen and Philip get Covid jab -LIVE  
England's third national lockdown needs to be made "stricter", scientists have said, as the number of coronavirus cases and deaths across the UK continues to hit ...  
standard.co.uk


8:04 AM · Jan 9, 2021 · Echobox

7. Scrolling down the DHSC timeline to 8.1.21, the new campaign uses some images from the first lockdown campaign, but with wording adapted to the current situation.



@DHSCgovuk Twitter timeline images since new campaign released

8. A BBC Breakfast montage about the new campaign, topped and tailed with the Whitty-narrated video, included the words and images below (which are not in the video).



BBC Breakfast, 9.1.21

9. The message “Act like you’ve got it” – is, as [@billHanage](#) suggests, “good advice - BUT it has been good advice since March last year.”



<https://t.co/jP0UfgRiUH>

"Act like you've got it" is good advice - BUT it has been good advice since March last year. It underpins the whole point of mask use and other interventions. Everybody should have been on the same page on this for months <https://t.co/1PUngZcnhZ>

— Bill Hanage (@BillHanage) January 8, 2021

10. And if “Act like you’ve got it” sounds familiar, it might be because [@GrahamMedley](#) said similar things on Newsnight last year.



11. So, what about the “problem with compliance”?

As [@ReicherStephen](#) writes, "that's the wrong question; adherence has been consistently high".

[@Yougov](#) reported on 5.1.21 that 85% of people SUPPORT lockdown.

<https://t.co/dYA106Al4L>

Will the public adhere to the new COVID lockdown?

Why this is the wrong question, how issues of adherence have been misrepresented, and how this has led us to address them in the wrong way. Our latest (with [@ProfJohnDrury](#)) in the British Medical Journal <https://t.co/zjDh3fCe7E>

— Stephen Reicher (@ReicherStephen) January 7, 2021

12. So, people SUPPORT lockdown and report high ADHERENCE.

The simple fact is that not everyone CAN adhere to the instruction, “We must all stay home.”

As @AdamWagner1 wrote, "Problem is there have been mixed messages already on work."

<https://t.co/mAhh3JbGPM>

Important question. Problem is there have been mixed messages already on work:

- Prime Minister: \u201cif you absolutely cannot work from home\u201d
- Guidance: \u201cwhere it is unreasonable for you to do your job from home\u201d
- Briefing to media: \u201cpossible to do so from home\u201d
- Law: \U0001f937\U0001f3fc\u200d\u2642\u200e <https://t.co/dSmBj01gv1>

— Adam Wagner (@AdamWagner1) [January 5, 2021](#)

13. 'Lockdown' rules (and meanings) have changed.

e.g., for many, it "is essential to go out" because the gov broadened the definition of key/critical worker, leading to more journeys, more public transport use, more pupils attending school, etc.

<https://t.co/sDMNtAXhxS>

\u201cWe should be having a stricter lockdown, yet we\u2019re got a more lax lockdown.\u201c

SAGE member & Director of the Centre for Behaviour Change at UCL @SusanMichie says in colder weather and a more infectious variant, current measures need to be tougher [#Newsnight](#) [pic.twitter.com/URYgh0ma8y](https://pic.twitter.com/URYgh0ma8y)

— BBC Newsnight (@BBCNewsnight) [January 8, 2021](#)

14. And, as @ScienceShared writes, "The millions of people who cannot afford to self-isolate face a choice between Covid compliance and financial devastation."

<https://t.co/xK5SOFec7d>

15. To go from "schools are safe" to "schools are vectors of transmission", within 24 hours, from the same source, is such a spectacular communication failure: dizzying, chaotic, inconsistent, badly-timed, stress-inducing, trust-reducing.

Go to school don't go to school.