Twitter Thread by Teodora Gyupchanova

Teodora Gyupchanova

@teddy_vg



Short breakdown of the practical implications of the amended Development of Inter-Korean Relations Act for HR activists, NK people, ROK and third parties. Trying to keep this as objective as possible but it's been a week of bitter disappointment

- 1. It bans the use of loudspeakers, distribution of leaflets, usbs and other items with outside information into NK via the ROK-DPRK border (art24) and via the PRC-DPRK border (art 4/sect6) Such distribution can only happen via official authorisation by the ROK government
- 2. For the NK people this means considerably restricted access to outside information which is essential in a society with no effective freedom of expression or objective source of non-state sanctioned media
- 3. For NGOs involved in projects aimed at sending outside info into NK this means potential cessation/penalisation of activities. Inability to secure funding due to high risk assessment of activities, forced concealment of activities
- 4. For big governmental/private sponsors of activities aimed at bringing outside info to NK citizens the amendment is a big impediment to operations. For example US State Deptm has designated these actions as its priority re NK human rights for the past several years
- 5. For the ROK gymt this is a step closer to legislation such as the foreign NGOs law in CPR and the "foreign agent" law in Russia, at least in the final impact that this will have on NGOs in ROK.
- 6. Radio broadcasts remain "legal" but the info transmitted via them is only a fraction of the actual access to outside info of NK citizens.
- 7. In recent years the majority of them obtain outside info via USBs, SD cards, CDs, DVDs and mobile phones, which is due to the active sending of such items by NGOs
- 8. Since the introduction of the foreign NGOs law in China projects on NK involving activities in China have had big difficulty securing support as donors can't justify the financial and operational risks of executing such projects

9. Is this where ROK is heading by exerting full control on the actions of NGOs working towards better access to information for NK people?
10. Constant bundling up of issues pertaining to human rights and disruption of peace on the K Pen is not constructive and only leads to animosity towards HR activists, which is not helping anyone