Twitter Thread by Michael Berkman





Just finished hearings with the Enviro Minister. <a>@amymacsouthbris asked some great questions on coal, emissions and climate action. Unfortunately, the new Minister either didn't have the questions or didn't want to answer.

Remember this square? Qld Environment Minister wouldn't indicate whether these 18 new coal mines will still be operating in 2050, and whether that's consistent with the governments' target for net zero emissions by 2050.

https://t.co/mLVKes4TDq

You won't see this ad in Brisbane. You might see an ad about Labor's commitment to renewables, or how they "accept the science" of climate change. Here's why I think Labor's wrong to keep walking both sides of the fence here. Thread: pic.twitter.com/IK3wZ6zCZL

— Michael Berkman (@mcberkman) October 19, 2020

In response to an Opposition Question, the Environment Minister says Qld will need to cut about 40M tonnes of carbon or 40% below 2018 levels to reach Labor's 2030 target of a 30% emissions reduction. But she stumbles when asked about the plan to get there...

Before the 2017 election Gov't promised a green paper on how to cut pollution for these targets, but it never happened. They promised it again this yr - now called a "Climate Change Strategy". But...

When asked what funding has been allocated to develop the Climate Change Strategy and when it will be released, @meaghan_scanlon says repeatedly "the plan is a document... it is a document"... and that it will be developed internally.

No budget, no timeline.

On national parks funding, the pre-election Enviro Minister announced \$28M to buy new land for protected areas as an "initial" investment. Then in the Budget we learnt that amount will actually be spread over 4 years, meaning we won't reach the 17% protected areas target.

So <u>@amymacsouthbris</u> asks when we can expect national park funding beyond the "initial investment". Gov't simply says the 17% target isn't achievable within the 3 year target.

When I ask whether they've abandoned the 17% target, they insist no - but give no timeline to reach it.

Last week <u>@_LisaMCox</u> reported the Fed Gov't wrote to Qld suggesting collaboration to delist part of the Moreton Bay Ramsar wetland for the Toondah Harbour dev't.

My Q: will Govt rule out endorsing a change to the Moreton Bay Ramsar wetland boundary to facilitate Toondah?

Encouraging response fr the Minister: "We've already ruled out changes to the boundary".

Presumably refers to this response to my Question on Notice, where the previous Minister advised a DG review had concluded there is no justification for the change: https://t.co/rKMPVQj6gH

The question is now: will the Qld Government rule out approving the Toondah Harbour development?

We've already seen expert advise that it would breach our obligations under the Ramsar Convention, causing unacceptable & irreversible damage to the wetland. It cannot go ahead.