Twitter Thread by Narayanan P S ■■





Thread -

Why do major globalist institutions have so much interest in Twitter?

It's not because Twitter is a money maker. In 2020 the company suffered a net income loss of over \$1.14 billion. In 2021 there was an income loss of \$221 million.

Twitter still claims it earns a profit, but this is primarily derived from stock buybacks and state and federal government subsidies, meaning, without overnight loans from the Federal Reserve and tax breaks from government Twitter simply would not exist.

Twitter is carrying a debt load of around \$6.75 billion in total liabilities according to the Wall Street Journal, which might not seem like much in comparison with many other companies but again, Twitter is not a money maker so any sizeable debt is a problem

The US government has also been heavily invested in Twitter since at least 2014, throwing millions into various projects the company has undertaken including many overseas. This makes sense because Twitter regularly shares user data with government agencies..

often while claiming they don't. Surveillance requests are kept secret and any releases of information on such requests are blocked by US courts.

Overall, Twitter is a cesspool of political and corporate corruption.

A sock puppet of massive globalist shareholders and a data clearing house for conglomerates and governments alike.

One thing that sends leftists on Twitter into a rage is the notion that Elon Musk might buy up enough stock in the company to determine its future course.

The Twitter cult and their "blue check mark" gatekeepers are losing their minds over the prospect of a "billionaire" taking over Twitter when in reality the platform has been controlled by the worlds richest and most invasive shareholders for a long time...

. If you think Vanguard or BlackRock are better stewards than Elon Musk then you do a little more research on the history of these corporations.

What is the primary complaint that Twitter elitists have when it comes to Musk? That he MIGHT bring in more fair and balanced rules which would prevent political censorship.

In other words, they are angry because he might allow true free speech within the boundaries of legality for conservatives as well as leftists.

This is unacceptable to them. In their minds, free speech is only reserved for those with "correct thinking",

and they believe they get to dictate what "correct thinking" is It takes a special kind of psychopath to believe that their side is the only side of an issue that deserves to be heard.

They believe that it is free speech if they walk up to people and say "I'm going to destroy you and your way of life." And then when those people react to stop them, they cry that they are victims and claim that this is a violation of their rights...

Where I come from, you don't make threats against people and then expect them to do nothing about it. The leftists on Twitter and elsewhere are going to learn this lesson soon, one way or another.

The above tweets are extracts from BY TYLER DURDEN published in Zero Hedge